

Planning and Highways Meeting
Tuesday 3rd May 2022
Trinity Centre
6pm

Present

Cllrs Horrocks (Chairman), D. Allan, L. Allen, Briggs and Ellis.

In Attendance

Olive O'Sullivan Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Natalia Gorman.

Cllr John Cooper as a non voting observer.

Town Council Consultants Andrew Tucker (AT) and Keith Neil-Smith (KNS).

There were four members of the public and two representatives from the press in attendance.

The Chairman invited members of the public to speak.

Chloe Nugent, a Mere Green resident spoke objecting to planning application 2022/02811/PA.

Ms. Nugent expressed concerns which included that in her view:

- the measurements including both the original wall and the new wall were incorrect within the proposals
- The lower terrace is described as being previously overgrown, noting that there were large, mature trees and shrubs as part of a well kept garden.
- The proposal would mean significant loss of privacy with the neighbouring private garden which are now being overlooked
- The materials used to build the wall were out of keeping
- The wall was not designed by a structural engineer nor were there any engineered plans for the retaining wall listed in the retrospective application

Representatives from Eco Sutton asked the following two questions regarding agenda item 99:

1. building on the advice of the Woodland Trust we would suggest the Planning Committee ask for a stronger commitment from the developers to plant trees and hedgerows than their stated aim of substantial planting and also to include space for allotments which the town council knows are an excellent resource for the community?
2. on the issue of transport policy for the urban extension at Langley, we wonder why the Town Council has accepted the suggestion of sprint buses, when this idea was resoundingly turned down a few years ago, especially on the grounds that these vehicles cannot drive to the town centre; and further should the council go forward without any mention of the possibility of a station at Walmley on the Park Line, which is planned for passenger reinstatement?

The CEO responded:

1. This is an outline application which primarily relates to establishing the strategic access points to the site. It therefore does not go into detail on the landscaping of the site and when these details are submitted the Town Council will look to ensure that these are fit for purpose and help create the high quality of place set out in the SPD. As you will note the recommendations before councillors

this evening include that a dedicated area for allotments should be provided which addresses this point.

2. Whilst recognising there are outstanding issues which need to be addressed in relation to the town centre it is still the policy of the principal authorities to provide some form of Sprint / Rapid Transit system from Sutton Coldfield. The provision of a new railway station and re-opening of the Park Line is a separate issue outside the scope of this application and not a requirement for this development to go ahead.

94 **Apologies for Absence**

Apologies received from Cllrs Hodgins, Puri and Simper.

Resolved to accept the apologies received.

95 **Declarations of Interest**

None.

96 **Minutes of Last Meeting**

Resolved that the minutes of the meeting of Planning & Highways Committee Meeting held on Tuesday 5 April 2022 be signed as a true record of the meeting.

97 **Matters Arising**

None.

98 **Questions from Members**

None.

99 **Application 2021/10567/PA, Land at Langley, by Lindridge Road, A38, Walmley Ash Lane, Webster Way, Thimble End Road and Springfield Road**

The Chairman invited Andrew Tucker and Keith Neil -Smith to present their report.

AT advised that consultants reviewed the application against Birmingham City Council's Supplementary Planning Document and Sustainable Urban Extension in the Birmingham Development Plan, addressing principal concerns that the Town Council may wish to express.

AT first concerns were in relation to the site access points and in particular the northern A38 access which comprises south facing slip roads. AT's view is that given this is an important structural element, the need for north facing slip roads should be determined from the outset rather than later in the development process.

AT advised that a recent Transport Assessment to measure cumulative traffic impacts of the Langley and Peddimore development had identified a series of proposed works for off-site junctions that require mitigation. AT noted that the ability to secure the improvements of

mitigation works was sufficiently covered by a legal agreement linked to a detailed phasing plan that would include appropriate trigger works, however currently there isn't a lot of detail of phasing within the report. AT stressed the importance of focusing the developer's minds on creating a viable community with adequate infrastructure.

In terms of the site's connectivity, relevant policies stipulate that a network of pedestrian and cycle routes would be required throughout the site which would link to Peddimore, Sutton Coldfield Town Centre, Birmingham City Centre and other local centres. AT expressed concern in what the priority and investment would focus on and felt further information on this should be sought. AT noted that a Green Travel District would be set up to guide the delivery of sustainable travel with membership including representatives from the City Council, TfWM, developers and local representatives. AT stressed the importance of representatives from Sutton Coldfield Town Council, particularly when discussing section 106 agreements.

From a design perspective, KNS advised that the proposed development broadly aligns with the original proposals set out in the SPD, however there were some changes mainly relating to the positioning of the southern most community hub and some additional development around Langley Gorse and to the south of Fox Hollies House.

KNS expressed concerns over the proposed height of buildings as per the Building Storey Heights Parameter Plan which shows building height allows for 3 storeys plus a pitched roof which could be considered as inappropriate outside of the more urban hub areas.

KNS expressed disappointment of the use of gas boilers as the predominant form of heating and given the time frame in which the houses would be built, hoped for better, sustainable measures in place.

Both AT and KNS reiterated that the lack of detail within the proposals were of concern however there were certain there would be a lot more detailed applications to follow and urged committee to raise concerns with Birmingham City Council but not pre-judge the outcome.

The Chairman thanked both consultants for reviewing the application and supporting documents.

Cllr L Allen expressed disappointment over the design of the proposed 3 story buildings.

Cllr D Allan raised concern regarding the re-contouring of the land which will lose the character of the neighbourhood. Cllr Allan would like to see more accurate drawings and proposals of the land.

Cllr Briggs agreed that the potential for north facing slip roads on the northern A38 access should be determined from the outset and agrees that further transport modelling work is required.

Committee resolved that they should respond to this application as follows:

a) welcomes the plans to establish this new community as an extension to the town, the principle of which was established through the Birmingham Development Plan process;

- b) emphasises the need for the planning, movement and phasing arrangements to integrate the development with the rest of the town at the earliest opportunity;
- c) that the potential for north facing slip roads on the northern A38 access should be determined from the outset of the development;
- d) that the related Section 106 funding package needs to be comprehensive to secure sufficient financial contributions to ensure that appropriate mitigation measures at key junctions and improvements along relevant transportation corridors can be carried out in accordance with a more detailed phasing plan to be agreed;
- e) that further details are required to ensure that the movement hierarchy, as described, effectively promotes the most sustainable forms of transport and reduces car dependency with clarification also being sought on the apparent lack of park and ride provision and levels of private parking;
- f) that early consideration be given to the implementation of improvements to transportation corridors to the west between the site and the town centre to ensure ease of access by sustainable modes and successful integration into the town, the need for which is reinforced by the intended phasing arrangements;
- g) requests the opportunity to be represented and actively participate in the Green Travel District influencing how Section 106 monies are spent as the development progresses;
- h) that the details provided in the Design and Access Statement fall short of the comprehensive Design Framework envisaged in the approved SPD and are not particularly indicative of a truly 'exemplar' development in design terms. As it stands, this is very unlikely to provide a robust context for the creation of the desired distinctive local neighbourhoods which respond to their setting;
- i) supports the carrying out of a follow-up independent design review of the emerging proposals of the kind previously undertaken by MADE and the Page 10 of 10 establishment of a local Design Forum to support the existing consultative group on design issues before planning applications are submitted;
- j) that the proposed re-profiling of the area should be carried out in a manner which responds to the character of the site avoiding as far as possible the construction of artificial plateaus for development enabling the creation of distinctive neighbourhoods which respond to natural changes in topography;
- k) that the approach to the planning policy requirements for Langley to be an exemplar in achieving the highest standards of sustainability appears to be lacking in terms of energy use and utilities and does little more than seek to satisfy the Building Regulations;
- l) that greater clarity should be provided in the form of a more detailed phasing plan demonstrating how fully functioning well supported neighbourhoods are intended to be established within the core of the development at the earliest opportunity incorporating appropriate trigger points to ensure the timely delivery of necessary supporting infrastructure;
- m) A suitable dedicated area for allotments should be provided for a development of this scale;

n) that the full report be forwarded to Birmingham City Council with an indication also being given that the Town Council would welcome the opportunity to further discuss, as appropriate, the issues and concerns raised prior to any decisions being made.

100 Application 2022/00861/PA, Former Royal Works, Coleshill Street

The Chairman thanked the CEO for her comments and observations ([attached](#)).

Cllr Allan expressed his disappointment that the revised application still proposed a high number of large buildings which were too large for the site. Cllr Allan felt that the proposals were not in keeping with the surrounding buildings. This view was echoed by Committee.

Cllr Briggs was disappointed to see a loss of amenity and communal space.

Committee agreed that in principle the proposals accord with relevant planning policies and would help meet the housing needs of the area however Committee resolved to object to this planning application on the following grounds:

- The extent of development proposed involves a combination of very large building footprints which, together with the unbroken scale and repetitive architectural treatment of the buildings, look as though they should be in a more urban setting rather than an area which is lower density and more suburban in nature with relatively small buildings set in generously sized plots.
- The building coverage also compromises the site layout with relatively little usable amenity space around the edges of the buildings due to the proximity to the boundary and the combined overshadowing effects of the buildings and trees on the perimeters of the site. These trees should be retained as far as possible.
- There is a considerable fall across the site from west to east and the site sections demonstrate that these relatively tall buildings are not necessarily overbearing in relation to their neighbours on Coleshill Street and Rectory Road due to the sloping terrain setting the site below these adjoining streets. However, a worrying contrast is shown in relation to the section AA to Coleshill Road which shows a more overbearing impression borne out by the verified views also presented in the supporting documentation. A further verified view looking into the site entrance on Coleshill Street should also be provided as this will be the principal point from which the general public will view the development.
- The concerns referred to above suggest that the proposal constitutes considerable overdevelopment of the site.
- Accessibility and on street parking were amongst the concerns raised in the pre-application consultation with some request also being made for dedicated spaces for existing residents on the roads adjacent to the site. The latter is outside the scope of the application. Whilst it is accepted that the levels of traffic generated will be less than for general needs housing Birmingham City Council should ensure that the

levels of parking proposed meet its policy requirements and will not result in overspill pressures in surrounding streets.

- A number of mature trees are located within the site and every effort should be made to retain as many of these as possible as part of a comprehensive landscaping scheme. There is a lack of detail on how the loss of natural habitats will be mitigated and a general uplift in biodiversity secured.
- The energy and sustainability report concludes that the buildings will exceed national and local requirements in terms of its energy performance which is welcomed. However, it is disappointing that other approaches such as rainwater harvesting, grey-water recycling and ground source heat pumps have been rejected either on site constraint or viability grounds.

101 Peddimore Land, North of Minworth, East of A38 and West of Wiggins Hill Road

The Chairman thanked the CEO for her comments and observations ([attached](#)).

Committee agreed that Birmingham City Council (BCC) should ensure that, in conjunction with the Langley development, the relevant agreements were in place to fund necessary off-site improvement works and mitigation measures in a timely way commensurate with the progression of these major developments.

Committee resolved to submit the following comments to BCC for their consideration:

In conjunction with the Langley development, the relevant agreements are in place to fund necessary off-site improvement works and mitigation measures in a timely way commensurate with the progression of these major developments.

In terms of sustainable travel provision, a pedestrian and cycle bridge is proposed over the A38 and other footpaths and cycleways from the surrounding areas will cross the site in the landscaped corridors. Birmingham City Council should ensure that the necessary arrangements are in place to secure an appropriate level of public transport provision to and from the site at the earliest opportunity.

The building is effectively a simple large box generally clad in grey-coloured, profiled steel panels which get progressively lighter in bands towards the top. Visual interest is added by the office accommodation provided in the north-east corner. It is very similar to many other warehouses to be seen around the country but it is difficult to conclude this reaches the exemplar design status to which the applicants claim to aspire. This is unfortunate as the unit stands at the entrance to the site clearly visual from the A38 where further consideration could have been given to some additional design features particularly on the currently blank western end.

The landscaping has been strengthened with broad landscaped corridors alongside the principal internal roads with some of the spaces also carrying watercourses. This is to be welcomed however it should be noted that buildings of this scale and height will remain prominent in the landscape reinforcing the need for high quality design, layout and finishes.

In terms of sustainability the buildings appear to reach high levels of performance both in construction and in the provision for 'net zero' operation by future tenants which is also to be welcomed.

At 19:45 Cllr Horrocks proposed that in accordance with Standing Orders the meeting be extended for up to one hour.

AT & KNS left the meeting during the comfort break.

Resolved to extend the meeting for one hour.

A short comfort break was called. Meeting resumed at 19:50.

102 Planning Applications

(i) Schedules of Planning Applications for Sutton Coldfield Town Council Area Four Oaks Ward - 31st March - 26th April 2022

No	Application Number	Site Address	Development Description	SCTC Recommendation
1	2022/02980/PA	Tesco Express 80 Walsall Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B74 4QY	Display of 1no. internally illuminated and 2no. non-illuminated fascia signs, 1no. internally illuminated projecting sign and various non-illuminated signage	No Objection
2	2022/03385/PA	2 Bracebridge Road Four Oaks Sutton Coldfield B74 2SB	Listed Building consent for the installation of dormer to rear	No Objection
3	2022/02861/PA	14 Four Oaks Road Sutton Coldfield B74 2TH	Erection of single storey rear extension	No Objection
4	2022/02828/PA	16 Ladywood Road Four Oaks Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B74 2SW	Application to determine the details for condition numbers 3 (sample materials) 4 (architectural and specification details) attached to approval 2021/10851/PA	No Objection
5	2022/03132/PA	34 Irnham Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B74 2TQ	Application for a Non Material Amendment to planning approval 2020/08039/PA to change the facing material from render to brickwork	No Objection

6	2022/02799/PA	23 Wentworth Road Four Oaks Sutton Coldfield B74 2SD	Erection of two storey side and single storey side extensions and replacement dormer window to front	No Objection
7	2022/02744/PA	3 Le More Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B74 2XY	Erection of two storey forward and single storey rear extension, installation of dormer windows to front and rear, garage conversion to habitable room with installation of new window to front and single storey garage to rear	Objection. Committee feel that the proposal is not in keeping with the current area.
8	2022/02680/PA	117 Clarence Road Four Oaks Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B74 4AU	Erection of single storey rear extension	No Objection
9	2022/02663/PA	150 Rosemary Hill Road Sutton Coldfield B74 4HN	Erection of two storey front extension	No Objection
10	2022/02509/PA	9 Highbury Road Four Oaks Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B74 4TF	Erection of two storey rear, forward & side extensions, single storey rear, side and forward extensions with front dormer window and Juliette balconies to rear and erection of front boundary gates, wall and railings.	Objection. Committee are aware that electric gates over 1m in height are required to be set back by 5m. Members note from the drawings that the plans are not set to that degree and therefore object and request consistency with a highways approach.
11	2022/02697/PA	228 Blackberry Lane Sutton Coldfield B74 4JS	Erection of 6 metre deep single storey rear extension. Maximum height 2.6 metres. Eaves height 2.6 metres.	No Objection
	Mere Green Ward 31st March - 26th April 2022			
No	Application Number	Site Address	Development Description	SCTC Recommendation
12	2022/02915/PA	262 Lichfield Road Mere Green Sutton	Application to determine the details of condition numbers 1.	No Objection

		Coldfield Birmingham B74 2UH	(inventory of retention of fixtures) 2. (delivering works in advance of other new build) 4. (building recording) and 8. (contamination remediation scheme) attached to planning application 2020/10187/PA	
13	2022/02811/PA	51 Hill Village Road Sutton Coldfield B75 5BH	Erection of retaining wall and fence to the rear, associated backfill and raising of garden level	Objection. Committee are concerned that privacy to the neighbouring property is comprised by the retaining wall and respectfully request a site visit from Birmingham City Council.
14	2022/03029/PA	388 - 390 Lichfield Road Mere Green Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B74 4BJ	Non Material Amendment to Planning Approval 2021/00163/PA for an amendment to the roof construction from a new pitched 'lean to' style roof to a new covered side passage and flat roof section to match the rear elevation. Amendments to the proposed rear extension of both properties - No. 388 has been altered to avoid an awkward junction with the neighbouring properties part wall. No. 390 has altered the pitched roof to their rear extension to a flat roof.	No Objection
15	2022/02383/PA	9 Lowercroft Way Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B74 4XF	Erection of first floor side extension and garage conversion to habitable room	No Objection
16	2022/03002/PA	10 Tower Road Mere Green Sutton Coldfield B75 5EW	Non material amendment to Planning Approval 2021/10525/PA to reduce floor area	No Objection

17	2022/02754/PA	156 Hill Village Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B75 5JD	Erection of two storey front, single storey side and single storey side and rear extensions	No Objection
18	2022/02661/PA	61 Ley Hill Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B75 6TE	Erection of two storey front, two and single storey rear extension, porch extension and canopy	No Objection
19	2022/02522/PA	220 Dower Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B75 6SZ	Erection of single storey front extension to replace existing porch and installation of window to side	No Objection
20	2022/02460/PA	Springhill Farm Camp Road Sutton Coldfield B75 5QY	Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for a proposed single storey side extensions.	No Objection
	Reddicap Ward 31st March - 26th April 2022			
No	Application Number	Site Address	Development Description	SCTC Recommendation
21	2022/02968/PA	410 Lindridge Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B75 7JB	Erection of single storey rear extension.	No Objection
22	2022/02981/PA	16 Dickinson Drive Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B76 1FP	Erection of two storey and first floor side extension	No Objection
23	2022/02835/PA	320 Lindridge Road Sutton Coldfield B75 7HX	Erection of single storey rear extension	No Objection
24	2022/02832/PA	1 Crecy Close Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B76 1DQ	Erection of two storey side, single storey rear extension and demolition of existing porch by replacement single storey porch.	No Objection
25	2022/02806/PA	41 Bigwood Drive Sutton Coldfield B75 7PT	Erection of single storey front extension	No Objection

26	2022/02769/PA	41 Walsh Drive Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B76 2NU	Erection of replacement detached garage, removal of side boundary wall and erection of new timber fence	Objection. Committee note from the proposal that the boundary wall goes beyond the required building line.
27	2022/02090/PA	21 Firbarn Close Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B76 1AG	Erection of two storey side and rear and single storey rear extensions.	No Objection
0	Roughley Ward 31st March - 26th April 2022			
No	Application Number	Site Address	Development Description	SCTC Recommendation
28	2022/02810/PA	15 Marlpit Lane Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B75 5PH	Erection of single storey and first floor rear extensions and installation of bay windows to front.	No Objection
29	2022/00288/PA	39 Willmott Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B75 5NR	Erection of single storey rear extension	No Objection
30	2022/02658/PA	Standerton Camp Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B75 5QZ	Application to determine the details of condition 4 (Arboricultural Method Statement) attached to planning approval 2021/10606/PA	No Objection
31	2022/02628/PA	174 Worcester Lane Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B75 5NQ	Erection of single storey rear extension	No Objection
	Trinity Ward 31st March - 26th April 2022			
No	Application Number	Site Address	Development Description	SCTC Recommendation
32	2022/02962/PA	Dunkery 8 Manor Drive Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B73 6ER	Conversion of existing detached double garage to living accommodation with associated external alterations	No Objection

33	2022/02881/PA	Ground Floor Flat 28 Holland Road Sutton Coldfield B72 1RQ	Erection of single storey rear extension	No Objection
34	2022/02880/PA	30 Holland Road Sutton Coldfield B72 1RQ	Erection of single and two storey rear extension	No Objection
35	2022/02452/PA	8 Elms Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B72 1JF	Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for the existing use as supported living accommodation in excess of 10 years.	No Objection
36	2022/03088/PA	12 Ebrook Road Birmingham B72 1NY	Erection of 6 metre deep single storey rear extension. Maximum height 3.9 metres, eaves height 2.9 metres	No Objection
37	2022/00816/PA	71 Lichfield Road Sutton Coldfield B74 2NU	Erection of replacement boundary wall to rear	No Objection
38	2022/02208/PA	Tudor Crest 20 Tudor Hill Sutton Coldfield B73 6BH	Erection of a two-storey side extension and rear extension to the existing dwelling house.	No Objection
39	2022/02528/PA	9 Midland Drive Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B72 1TU	Erection of two storey side and single storey rear extensions	No Objection
40	2022/02480/PA	Former Rosie's Nightclub Lower Parade Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B72 1XX	Application for outline planning permission for the development of up to 55 residential dwellings (Use class C3) and up to 750sqm commercial floor space (Use class E), together with associated car parking and service areas (access, layout and scale not reserved).	No Objection
41	2022/02464/PA	68 St Andrews Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B75 6UH	Erection of single storey front and side extension.	No Objection

42	2022/02459/PA	Good Hope Hospital Rectory Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B75 7RR	Application to determine the details for conditions numbers 1 (drainage scheme) 2 (cycle storage), 3 (plant and machinery) and 4 (obscure glazing) attached to approval 2021/10846/PA.	No Objection
Vesey Ward 31st March - 26th April 2022				
No	Application Number	Site Address	Development Description	SCTC Recommendation
43	2022/03243/PA	41 Stirling Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B73 6PS	Non Material Amendment to approval 2021/04751/PA for the retention of existing garage door with pitched roof over garage and porch and rendered walls to extension.	No Objection
44	2022/02846/PA	29 Longmoor Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B73 6UA	Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for a proposed erection of single storey rear extension	No Objection
45	2022/02823/PA	159 Queslett Road East Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B74 2AL	Erection of single storey rear and side extension	No Objection
46	2022/02788/PA	Sutton Park - off Stonehouse Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B73 6BU	Application to determine the details of condition numbers 3. (construction method statement/management plan) and 6. (Local Area Traffic Management Plan) attached to planning application 2021/04633/PA	No Objection
47	2022/03087/PA	12 Coppice View Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B73 6UE	Erection of 6 metre deep single storey rear extension. Maximum height 3.2 metres, eaves height 3 metres.	No Objection
48	2022/03003/PA	21 Carnwath Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B73 6JP	Non material amendment to Planning Approval 2021/10367/PA regarding moving right hand garage/bedroom wall inwards	No Objection

			to allow eaves/gutter projection and to simplify boundary detail, extension of front canopy roof to garage to extend over front door	
49	2022/02735/PA	34 Stonehouse Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B73 6LP	Erection of single storey rear extension and replacement of existing side dormers with rooflights	No Objection
50	2022/02700/PA	15 Westwood Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B73 6UP	Erection of two storey side and single storey front and rear extension, erection of single storey detached building to rear garden	No Objection
51	2022/02853/PA	18 St Michaels Road Birmingham B23 5SY	Erection of 6 metre deep single storey rear extension. Maximum height 2.928 metres, eaves height 2.683 metres	No Objection
52	2022/02581/PA	17 Melrose Avenue Sutton Coldfield B73 6NT	Erection of two storey side extension.	No Objection
53	2022/00470/PA	7A Kings Road Sutton Coldfield B73 5AB	Erection of single storey side extension	No Objection
54	2022/02047/PA	38 Stonehouse Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B73 6LL	Demolition of existing bungalow and erection of 1no. replacement bungalow	Whilst committee have no objection per se, they do respectfully request that if approved, Birmingham City Council include a condition that states that the outbuilding is not for habitable use incidental to the main dwelling.
55	2022/02548/PA	94 Antrobus Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B73 5EL	Erection of two storey rear, first floor side and single storey forward extensions	No Objection
56	2022/01964/PA	29 Church Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B73 5RX	Erection of two storey detached dwelling house with detached double garage to front	No Objection

57	2022/01936/PA	14 Falstone Road Sutton Coldfield B73 6PJ	Proposed garage conversion and first floor bedroom	No Objection
58	2022/01797/PA	526 Chester Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B73 5HL	Change of use from 7 bed to 8 bed House In Multiple Occupation (Sui Generis)	No Objection
Walmley & Minworth Ward 31st March - 26th April 2022				
No	Application Number	Site Address	Development Description	SCTC Recommendation
59	2022/02977/PA	DC6 Midpoint Way Prologis Park Midpoint Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B76 9EH	Application to determine the details of condition number 5. (Construction Employment Plan) attached to planning application 2021/07832/PA	No Objection
60	2022/02717/PA	12 Coldstream Road Sutton Coldfield B76 1NW	Erection of bay window to rear	No Objection
61	2022/02682/PA	DC6 Midpoint Way Prologis Park Midpoint Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B76 9EH	Application to determine the details of condition number 1. (contamination remediation scheme) attached to planning application 2021/07832/PA	No Objection
62	2022/02541/PA	25 Stapleton Close Sutton Coldfield B76 1XT	Erection of single storey side and rear extension	No Objection
63	2022/02525/PA	95 Orton Avenue Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B76 1JL	Erection of two storey side extension	No Objection
64	2021/10045/PA	308 Eachelhurst Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B76 1ER	Erection of front bay window and alterations to front porch	No Objection
Wylde Green Ward 31st March - 26th April 2022				
No	Application Number	Site Address	Development Description	SCTC Recommendation
65	2022/03020/PA	79 Green Lanes Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B73 5JH	Erection of single storey side and rear extension	No Objection

66	2022/02424/PA	125 Pilkington Avenue Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B72 1LQ	Erection of single and two storey side and single storey front extension and alterations to existing roof	No Objection
67	2022/02765/PA	6 Hillcrest Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B72 1EG	Erection of single storey front, two storey rear extension and pitched roof over garage, porch and side.	No Objection
68	2022/02695/PA	5 Britton Drive Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B72 1EL	Erection of single and two storey front and rear and first floor front extensions	No Objection
69	2022/02629/PA	172 Birmingham Road Sutton Coldfield B72 1BX	Erection of single storey side and rear extension and dormer window to rear	No Objection
70	2022/02465/PA	Goldieslie Club Ltd Goldieslie Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B73 5PF	Erection of two storey side extension and single storey rear and single storey forward extensions	No Objection
71	2022/02533/PA	11 Florence Road Sutton Coldfield Birmingham B73 5NJ	Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for proposed loft conversion with installation of dormer window to rear and roof light to front.	No Objection

Resolved to forward Committee's recommendations to Birmingham City Council

(ii) Schedule of Amended Decisions

None to consider.

(iii) Schedules of Planning Applications Decisions

Six applications were refused when Royal Sutton Coldfield Town Council had no objections. These applications were rejected due to specific breaches of various building codes that Royal Sutton Coldfield Town Council does not check as this is done by Birmingham City Council planners.

Resolved that Committee note the decisions.

103 Date of Next Meeting



Tuesday 7th June 2022, 6pm, Trinity Centre.

Meeting closed: 20.15

Signed as a correct record

Signed.....

Date.....

DRAFT